1 Hon. Richard A. Jones 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR15-332 RAJ 11 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S 12 UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE 13 TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL v. MOTIONS DEADLINE 14 JONATHAN BRENT WULF, 15 Defendant. 16 17 THE COURT, having considered the motion to continue the trial date and pretrial 18 motions due date, and based upon the facts set forth therein, which are hereby 19 incorporated by reference and adopted as findings of fact, finds that: 20 (a) taking into account the exercise of due diligence, the eventual completion of 21 the forensic examination of Mr. Wulf's computers, the examination and evaluation of 22 additional discovery, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for 23 the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation due to counsel's 24 need for more time to review the evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather 25 evidence material to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); and 26 (b) a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in 27 a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i); and 28 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY ORDER /WULF - 1